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## Lecture - 18

Numeric Example: MDOF influence Coefficient Method

In the last lecture, we discussed about the Dunkerley's Method and Comparison of the First Frequency with the Influence Coefficient Method. We derive the first expression for omega 1 from influence coefficient method. We also explained you why this method is called Influence Coefficient Method, because the entire system is under the influence of the inertia force caused at different modes of vibration.
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So, we try to derive what, we call as the delta or the alpha matrix which is called Influence Coefficient Matrix.


So, we had use this algorithm xi double dot is minus omega square xi, which is minus k by M xi because, we know omega square is k by M and we also know that k is 1 by let us say delta. So, xi double dot becomes minus xi by M delta. So, minus xi is m, delta xi double dot and we have got this algorithm x1, x 2, x3 alpha 11, 21, 31; alpha 12, 22, 32; alpha 13, 23, 33, M1x1 double dot M1x1 double dot M $2 \times 2$ double dot.

You will; obviously, see that the iteration will have to have with the alpha and M matrices. We have the M matrix for this problem separately done alpha matrix for this problem separately calculated then, we multiplied then we found the control matrix which is actually this matrix we multiplied. Because when say essentially the alpha matrix was 1114 M is the M value.

Therefore, the first column has become 444 and so on. We got this control matrix, now we have the vector on both sides which is an iteration vector, I assume the vector and keep on iterating it unless the, one which is assume the one what you derive, converges we say that it is converge at this vector and now, equated the 1 value as 14.32 multiplier of this one value and we got omega 1 . As this value in terms of k by M and its compare, this a very well with the Dunkerley Value, which is 0.4 root k by M 39 something a 0.4 which is a non iterative just only taking only the leading diagonal values of the alpha matrix we got this value.


Now, the question is how to take it forward to find omega 2 and 5, 2. So, for this particular problem this becomes phi 1 and this becomes omega 1 . So, we got the first frequency in the corresponding mode shape and I can say the first frequency confidently because the mode shape is on positively value. We already know for a first mode shape there is 0 crossing.

Therefore, this called as a first frequency and we now understand Dunkerley's also gives me the frequency which is a fundamental frequency, which is also tallying more or less with the value I want to now find omega 252 ; omega 353 because this problem is a 3 degree freedom system problem. I must know the remaining omega (Refer Time: 04:08) we also said in the earlier lecture that once we have the set of phi s with you if they are orthogonal to each other it becomes advantages with respect to mass matrix because, the calculation become simple. When you talk about the modal participation factors later, what we did is we found the vector from Eigen value problem and then we normalised it with respect to mass matrix. We got normalise vector by solving the Eigen solver, we do not get or we did not get the normalised or diagonalized vectors.

We normalise then, orthogonalize them with respect to mass matrix and we got the value. So, using that approach now in this particular problem I want to directly derive the orthogonal vector phi 2 and orthogonal vector phi 3, which is orthogonal going to be with phi 1 and phi 2 . Now I am going to have a matrix of phi 2 , vector phi 2 that is the

Eigen vector which will remain orthogonal with phi 1 and so on and so forth. So, I am going to use that principle we already know that $\mathrm{x} i$ and $\mathrm{x} j$ will be equal to 0 if i and j are different if $x i$ and xa product is 1 . If $i$ and $j$ are 1 same, we know that value principle of orthogonality, we apply that logic here and try to get the second vector and the corresponding frequency please understand. In this method; in Influence Coefficient Method, the focus or the crust is not on omega it is not it is only on phi, where as in Dunkerley the focus was on omega and not on phi. So, you must understand the derivation in terms of the algorithm by different methods.
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So, here the focus is not on omega it is on phi that is why we are iterating phi similarly since the focus for the entire set is on phi. I am trying to orthogonalize phi first and get the omega 2. Now to get omega 2 to obtain omega 2 phi 2 , We know it is a pair it is an unique combination. We will principle of orthogonality using principle of orthogonality we know, let us see what do we actually know; I have a vector which is A1, B1, C1. I have another vector which is A2, B2, C2. Let us say this vector is nothing, but 13.16 and 4 and the vector which I do not know that is phi 2 is this vector, which is A2, B2, C2. Now I want orthogonalize these 2 vectors either respect to mass or with respect to stiffness. The advantage of doing mass matrix in this problem is mass matrix is diagonal because the degrees of freedom are mark in the location. Where mass in lumped. So, half diagonal multipliers will become 0 automatically, and second demerit in this problem is I do not have stiffness matrix for this problem. Already I told you to verify the influence
coefficient matrix and inverse of that we could have verify. So, stiffness matrixes do not have with me.

Therefore, I cannot diagonalize or orthogonalize these 2 vectors; respect to k because I do not have k because I need k to multiply them, I do not have k here in this problem I have derived k , but I am not having k here. Therefore, I am using m . So, let us write this condition M1, A1, A2 plus M2, B1, B2 plus M3, C1, C2 is set to 0 . Why first? Why M is getting multiplied because M diagonalize in this specified to mass matrix I do not have k I could do with k also. But I do not have k here. So, can why this is 0 because there are cross products, we already know xij ij all are equal the product is should become 0 . Actually if they are orthogonal to each other, so I am deriving a vector which is remaining orthogonal to a known vector conditionally. When they are multiplied with mass matrix that is what the condition here is.
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Let us do that. So, mass matrix we already have here in this problem, I will write down the mass matrix. Here these are the linear diagonal elements which are diagonally dominant and positive remaining all are 0 in $t$ his problem.


So, M1 4M A11 A2, I do not know similarly M2 2M B1 is 3.16 and B2 I do not know plus M4 C2. So, let us explain this equation 4 M of A 2 plus 6.32 M of B 2 plus 4 M of C 2 0 . Therefore, I can say A2 is minus of 1.58 because M goes away in any way, B2 plus C2 is it. Let us say; let B2 be B2, C2 be C2 and this statement looks very funny. This is required I will tell you why there are 3 unknowns; here what are the unknowns A2, B2 and C2, we also know that A2 and B2 and C2 are not independent. They are relative because this is a mode shape mode, shape is nothing, but it is a relative displacement of mass position for a given frequency of vibration they are not unique. If I know 1, if we know the proportion; I can remaining find remaining B 2 and C 2 . So, I have only 1 equation I have 3 unknowns that is $\mathrm{A} 2, \mathrm{~B} 2$ and C 2 .

So, it is very interesting I cannot solve this problem therefore, I have founded 1 equation the second and third equation I am generating myself saying let B 2 be B 2 and C 2 be C 2 . Now you may ask me what is the advantage of writing like this, I can convert this now into a matrix form see how I am doing it.
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Let us say A2 B2 C2 vector can be 000 minus 1.581001 of A2 B2 C2 is that am I reading the same value in a matrix, form there is a minus here because this negative is multiplied to both the values I have got. Now a matrix which is new, now we have got apply this matrix multiply with existing control matrix. The existing control matrix is this first why, we have to multiply the answer is very simple the vector A2 B2 C2 should remain orthogonal with that of in existing vector, which is derived from this condition only this vector is true this vector is true only for this condition.

So, we this the control condition, which makes this vector true if this vector is taken as a multiplier here then, I must use this multiplier with the corresponding multiplication of this vector or this matrix. So, let us now do that I will remove.


So, I am now looking for the new vector instead of calling A2 B2 C2, I will call this as conventionally $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{x} 3$ conventionally I call this as, let us say for our understanding phi 2 second vector Eigen vector.

We should be now equal to omega square M by 3 k of $421 ; 484 ; 487 ; 0$ minus 1.58 minus $101 ; 000 ; 1$ of x 1 , x 2 , x 3 for our understanding, this is right. Now I got an iterative scheme, now I have got left hand side vector right hand side also A, vector I do not know either of them. So, I will assume 1 I will get the other 1 by convergence I will write to quantify them first let us multiply this 2 matrices and get a single matrix. Which is omega square M by 3 k of $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2$, x 3 . Now we now we are talking about the second vector. There is 10 crossing, let us assume a vector as 10 minus 1 these assumption. So, I am not writing this matrix back again. So, omega square M by 3 k of this matrix multiplied by 10 minus 1 . Let us see what do I get I get some multiplier of a vector tell me what is a vector we are getting.


What is a multiplier? You are getting back, the same vector fortunately for this vector assumes. So, its converging because assume 1 and the derived 1 are same therefore, I can equate and read 1 is equal to omega square $M$ by $k$ because this is a multiplier of 1 on the other hand omega is root of k by M that is a second frequency and the corresponding mode shape is 10 minus 1 if you try to plot this is a 3 marks question let us say this is M this is positive this is 0 negative you get 10 crossing. So, it is a first 10 crossing means second mode n minus one. So, second mode let us try to get the third one.
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So, now to obtain omega 35 again principle of orthogonality, let A1 B1 C1; A2 B2 C2; and A3 B3 C3 be orthogonal vectors. So, this vector already we know 13.164 this vector also we know this vector, we do not know. So, I should say 4 M of 1 of A 34 M is a mass matrix; M11 is this value $A 3$ is this plus $2 M$ of 3.16 of $B 3$ plus $M$ of 4 of $C 3$ should be set to 0 because they are cross products.
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Similarly, the second equation I am now talking about these 2 communications; 4 M of 1 of A3 unfortunately these 2 terms will look alike this term is, from this multiplier to A3 where are this term is M of this multiplier to A 3 plus 2 M of 0 of A 3 plus M of minus 1 of C3, set to 0 I have got 3 unknowns A3 B3 C3; they are relatively placed I have got 2 equation. Let first get this equations, 4 M A3 plus 6.32 B 3 plus 4 M C 3 is M here I can take away M everywhere I can take away M everywhere.

Similarly, 4 A3 is equal to C3. So, that tells me A3 is minus 1 by 4 of C 3 let C3 be C3 I can get B 3 which will give me. So, all of them are now expressed as a multiplier of C 3 . So, I can now generate this in a matrix form I will retain this multiplier. This multiplier I will retain I will remove this.
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Let us call a new vector again as $\mathrm{x} 1, \mathrm{x} 2$, x 3 , but it is phi 3 which is equal to omega square, M by 3 k of 000 minus 4.32, 1.68, 1.88 minus 303 multiplied by a new matrix which will again give me $\mathrm{x} 2, \mathrm{x} 3$, x 3 this matrix says a 3 is only a part of $\mathrm{C} 3,00000$ 0.25 .

So, let us get a new matrix; now I will rub this, I am just doing some manipulation please do it carefully, I will remove all this just to save some time just replacing them. So, get me the new matrix can you get me this column, the last column and we all know this if any 1 column is 0 or only 1 rho 0 that can be automatically put here. So, I will give only 1 column. Now can I say it is 0.41 , positive first value this $0.41,2 \mathrm{~A}$ to let us say 0.41 next is minus 1.33 , you please do not copy this you please check if it is wrong then we will correct this 1.67 you please check.


Now, I looking for the third vector, I should have 20 crossings. Now I can consider this value as 1 minus 1 one that is from positive to negative, one crossing from negative to positive another crossing which is omega square, M by 3 k of this matrix of 1 minus 1 , One which gives me omega square M by 3 k with the multiplier a new vector, let us see whether new vector is same as the old one. Can I give me this multiplier on the value?

Student: (Refer Time: 22:23).

No multiplier.

Student: (Refer Time: 22:31).

Then you say it is 1 . I will say this as 1 , I will take this as 1.67 and this is 0.25 and this is minus 0.8 . You can also set the other way no problem, but why I am writing this here because originally the last vector is a multiplier of C3. So, I am doing like this, now you see they are not converging because; the value is start with 1 minus 1 , but I am getting something else. So, let us now iterate. So, 0.25 minus 0.81 of omega square M by 3 k of this of 0.25 minus 0.8 of 4 . So, omega square M by 3 k multiplier a, new vector what is new vector and what is a multiplier? 1.67 is a multiplier and the vector is same. So, we are converged at 0.5 minus 0.8 and 1 . Now I can expand this say 1 is equal to write it here I am using the last statement. One of this of this is equal to this. So, 1 is equal to
omega square M by 3 k of 1.67 of 1 can get omega 3 as so much of k by M no, no its 1.34 right.
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So, let us quickly write this values here' I think I can write down the values here omega 1 is this omega 2 can you give me the simply it is k by M , I suppose correct and the vector is 10 minus 110 minus 1 and omega 3 is 1.34 of k by M and the vector is 0.25 minus 0.8 and 1 .
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So, if you look at these answers of all the 3 sets there were some observations. We can make with this method some of the observation or experience, what we have in solving this problem using this method is, one the method is any way iterative the moment I say any numerical method iterative. I can always program this 2 the method directly gives me orthogonal vectors with respect to mass matrix 3 the values of omega and phi are given in a sequential manner. What does it mean it always starts with the positive vector? So, omega 1 then omega 2 and omega 3, now you can very easily understand the whole algorithm is controlled by the assumption of vector had you assign a different vector, here you would get omega 1 as something which may not be actually the natural frequency system fundamental you get some other value instead of say 111 you would assumed 1 minus 10 , some vector then you will get a different omega which be not be the fundamental frequency.

So, the whole algorithm is control by the assume vector this is a drawback of the system actually this will make the system or the algorithm user specific, we cannot generalise this vector a this is one of the you can say. In this problem you should know how to assume the vector. So, therefore, is very interesting if we really wanted to find out omega 1 assume a vector with all positive want to get omega 2 assumed the vector with 1 positive, negative and positive etcetera. So, you must have an algorithm such a manner that it should lead you towards the successive frequency, which is generated. If you are able to carefully choose your vector will give you the values in sequential omega 1, omega 2 , omega 3 nicely no problem this method is highly numerically stable. Can you tell me why the main reason is this method does not invoke an inverse of a matrix are inversion is only multiplying a matrix.


So, any method which does not ask for an inversion is always stable as far as matrix algebra is concerned.

Now, this method indirectly gives me the stiffness matrix, does not give me the stiffness matrix it gives me the indirect stiffness matrix indirectly maybe that is a demerit because I want always $k$ and $M$ as essential characteristics of a given dynamic system. $M$ is any ways available to me in the system, but k is indirectly available to me this method also compares well with other numerical methods. One can say sir what are the other methods for the timing we know only 1 method that is Dunkerley. Therefore, it compares well, but I will also show you further method also will discuss will still compare very well the statement will be then way also valid. But now we know only 1 method Dunkerley. Therefore, it is agreeable with other numerical methods. So, these are some of the observation and experience as what we have gained by solving a problem in this now. This problem essentially as let us get back to the algorithm turn your pages and see this matrix not directly derived you derive only this matrix are, let us say you derive delta matrix using a linear or a series of spring system then you multiply that with a mass matrix and you got this matrix.

Now, I wanted to derive the alpha matrix directly for the given problem see remember the alpha matrix. In this case was not derived directly the alpha matrix derived with delta and the multiplier got this matrix. I would not derive the alpha matrix directly why? If
you can derive the alpha matrix directly I can always get k just by 1 step of inverse. So, can we derive the alpha matrix directly, for this given problem alpha is nothing, but inverse of k we know that for deriving k i j we used to give unit deflection of forces for deriving alpha i j , we give unit force and derive the displacements.

Let us try to do that is there any doubt in this method this method is well demonstrated as per as I am concerned that for a multi degree freedom system problem. I have taken 31 can take 4 also and you can try to experience the time involved in this method is very less though, it has taken much time. Because we are demonstrating the method with the writing example it takes time when you get to this method. You will be able to solve you can easily get than this does not require any essentially it does not require any computer program with the calculator you can get all omega and phi s, as of now there is now other method parallel to this which can give you all omega and phi with the simple calculator there is no other method. So, this method is very powerful in that way.
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Now, let us try to derive the alpha matrix directly. First let us get what is this alpha matrix available to me we already have an alpha matrix of this, can you give me this value 1 by 3 kI think is 111,147 .

Student: (Refer Time: 31:39).

Yes.

Student: (Refer Time: 31:41).

144,147 that is what we have a ready with is it not. So, I must get this matrix directly without the assumption of series of spring's etcetera. I may directly get from the first principle of alpha i j let us do that.
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Individually I am a marking 1 they does not mean that degrees of freedom they all the first second and third locations of respective unit forces has to be applied. So, let us do that in this method the common mistake what generally people make when, we carry over this control matrix from 1 to the next Eigen value. You should ignore the multiplier which will give you the value, if you carry over this multiplier also into the algorithm then you land up into it. That is where the confusion will start; this multiplier is nothing but your proportion value of the vector.

One does not mean anything it was actually $14.32,3.16$ of 14.344 times of 14.32 . Since they are common multiplier I have plug it out. So, this is nothing, but a number for me which an amplitude, I am not bother about this I am always bother about the relative displacement of mass position. When the system is vibrating at this frequency I can either say 14.32 , 14 into 3.1614 into 4 or I can let us say ignore $14.321,3.164$ is give me the same physical meaning actually.

So, you should never carry over this multiply in the control algorithm which is a common mistake, but people generally do. If you do that you land up in a wrong omega only phi will be ok you will get wrong omegas you will not be able to know that because phi will be all right you get wrong omegas only. So, that why then, you will get; you may get the value lower than this which is not correct. So, be careful about that now let us carefully examine this now the second interesting problem, what you are confusion is how to mark these arrow directions for the given alpha i j matrix is the very big confusion. Generally people have this confusion very common, let say this is unit force I am writing unit force just for our understanding here on wards, I will write only 1. I will write only 1 its means the force is unity not the displacement I am talking about alpha i j matrix not $\mathrm{kij}, \mathrm{kij}$ is force in ith degree of freedom by giving unit displacement the jth degree of freedom keeping all degrees of freedom constant where as alpha $\mathrm{i} j$ is give unit force and give the displacement.

So, these are all unit forces in the respective degrees of freedom. So, let us try to mark them, same logic as we used for k derivation when I am trying to pull this spring down by some force in the case the magnitude is 1 . Just spring will upwards the force. So, let us mark this as 3 k of alpha 11 . Now very carefully listen this I think possibly this way of explaining alpha derivation or the base matrix derivation is not there I think in any of the textbooks is not there the only textbook, where you will find will be the book which I have written with springer which will be available by this public domain. There is no other book which will explain you this.

So, it does not mean that it is a unique or a very great technique to be followed in mass and turn is very simple actually, there is a problem here right. So, try to understand the arrow direction how you are marking be exactly with me. It is easy for you the moment I complete everything you will not be able to reproduce this until you understand the basics, it is for guarantee you will not be able to reproduce this you will always 100 percent make a mistake either in numbering number or in the arrow direction. This is for granted you can take it granted you will not be able to do it you have to be exactly mesmerized on the black board then only you will know how it is done.

So, I am giving an unit force here the spring will try to pull it back because, now I am multiplying the stiffness with displacement this give me the force actually correct because, alpha 1 is nothing, but the displacement coefficient I am talking about
flexibility matrix now, displacement coefficient multiply the stiffness with displacement because stiffness is nothing, but force per displacement when you multiply you get the force, this also actually a force only. So, I will write a force equation equilibrium later right. So, I am getting an equation of that all so 3 k alpha 1.

Now, when I try to pull this mass now this spring will push it up as usual. So, I mark the arrow here and here parallelly I write the value of both of them common at the centre which will be the stiffness of the spring which is k multiplied by alpha 11 minus alpha 2 1 alpha 11 minus alpha 21 . Similarly because this will spring pull this mass will go now this spring will push up this is going to be k times of alpha 21 minus alpha 3 . Let us do it here for this unit force start from here. So, this way and this way is always a pair when, you put here and here. Because there is a common spring which connects this 2 . Therefore, you have to mark the arrow direction of both of them, I think this we already explained in the trust problem either it is pushing or pulling it is not one end pushing or one end pulling it is never. So, therefore, I am marking the force in this spring actually that is what I am trying to do, therefore I need not have to mark the separately then think after a day and come to for this arrow direction I should do it parallelly because, this is a spring force which will be; obviously, the stiffness of the spring multiplied by alpha 22 minus alpha 12.

Now, when this spring is pulling this mass down this spring will pull the mass up actually. So, 3k of alpha 12 similarly when, this mass is pushed down or pulled now let us say this spring will push it back. So, the marking is like this which will be $k$ times of alpha 22 minus 3 2. Let us apply the same logic for the third column here. So, I am trying to pull this mass down by unit force this spring will pull it back. So, I am marking it here pulling this back and this simultaneously arrows are marked which will be k times of alpha 33 minus 23 . When this mass is being pulled down by the spring.


So, this spring will try to pull it back which will be k times of alpha 23 minus 13 . When this mass is pulled down by the spring this will try to pull it back 3 k of alpha 13 . So, like let us say the force equations equilibrium equation for 1 by 1 separately, let us pick up this 3 k of alpha 11 plus k of alpha 11 minus 12 , sorry 21 this value this value and this is equal to 1 why because these 2 are upward, this one is downward the second equation you written here which is $k$ times of alpha 1121 should be equal to $k$ times of alpha 21 minus alpha 31 .

The third equation is here for this mass which is k times of alpha 21 minus 31 is 0 which implies k cannot be 0 because stiffness cannot be 0 this implies alpha 21 is alpha 3 1. When I substitute back here this becomes 0 which implies alpha 11 is equal to alpha 21 . When I substitute back here it will say 3 plus 14 k . Therefore, alpha 11 , will be 1 by 4 k 1 by 3 k because this goes 0 , this goes 0 because alpha 11 is 21 . So, this goes 01 by 3 k . So, this implies that alpha 21 is also 1 by 3 k and alpha 31 is also 1 by 3 k . So, if you take 1 by 3 k common the first column will be 111 which is as same as exactly here is 1 by 3 k .


Let us spend couple of more minutes at least get this also. So, let us write this equation here 3 k alpha 12 should be equal to k of alpha 22 minus 12 k of alpha 22 minus 12 plus k of alpha 22 minus 32 should be 1 .

K of alpha 22 minus 32 should be 0 , which implies alpha 22 is same as 32 . I am deriving this I am writing this equation from the third mass writing this equation from the second mass writing this equation from the first mass. So, when I do this goes away. So, we can say alpha 22 minus alpha 12 is 1 by k from this equation I substitute back here because this going to be 1 by k this becomes 1 therefore, alpha 12 will be 1 by 3 k substitute here alpha 22 is 1 by 3 k plus 1 by k. So, alpha 32 is also 4 by 3 k because they are same.

So, the second column is going to be now 1 by 3 k common alpha 12 is 1 alpha 22 is 4 alpha 32 is 4 which is as same in second column of what you have here similarly third, can also be written. Now let us quickly see where is the confusion there are many multiply and confusion in this problem the first confusion is, if you do not mark the arrow in combination or pair please take it granted you will never be able to solve this problem you never get alpha matrix at all, if you do not mark these arrows in pair you will never get.

If you what people generally do is like this they a mark the arrows with respect to mass they pick up the mass they mark the arrow they say. For example, the mass is moving
down. So, this spring will push it up this spring will pull it up they have written, when they come here they are really worried. So, you never do that pick up the mass pull it down put the pair pull it down, put the pair put here. Similarly I start from here pull it down put the pair as it goes down put the pair goes down, put the value similarly this mass goes down, put the pair goes down, put the pair go here then only we will have to solve this you have to follow this.

Systematically you will not make a big mistake and in all these a second subscript will be one indicating the force applied to the first degree in all this a second subscript by mistake is also, two indicating force applied is second degree and third degree. So, this is a second catch. So, you do not mix match the coefficients then of course, you can carefully write down the values and solve them, you will able to get the alpha matrix which was otherwise obtain by assuming the springs and series etcetera in directly, but this a direct method of finding out.

Imagine matrix methods was in position in practice in engineering only in seventies, even 70 years, before this method was in position or place Dunkerley did this actually. So, imagine how powerful was this mathematics tool to apply for dynamics we get back the same matrix here.

So, you can invert this which you must have already tested it will give me the stiffness matrix that is the problem. So, one need not have to actually go round about deriving this alpha matrix directly for the given problem. We can derive this alpha matrix then, write down the control equation get the iterative scheme set in and find omega and phi s successively depending upon how to you assume a vector the whole algorithm is control by the assumption of the vector. If you are able to assume the vector correctly you will get omega and phi correct. So, we will solve some more problems, when we couple of more problem by some other method then will compare the answers of that method with this method back again.

So, this method will give you all omega s and phis in sequence this method is controlled by the vector this method is called influence coefficient method. Because it is trying to find out the influence of inertia force in every degree of freedom, all the vibration system of the model that is why it is called influence coefficient method, alpha is the designation used in the literature for this method was first proposed in a research paper unfortunate
on remember it. Now it is available in the NPTEL reference please see in early seventies people propose this method first, time the research paper this is not a classical method available in text books this is been borrowed from the research paper.

So, that is why it is very interesting and very powerful tool even today, it is valid because it gives me probably the easiest method which gives me all the omega and phi s instantaneously the easiest method and it is and computable with the calculate, you can do that is very fast we are done 2 problem say about 40 minutes time. So, you should be able to do about 8 problems in 3 hours in a given exam like this, any questions?

So, exam is now open for dynamics we have got about 1900 candidates who are registering for this course all over the world about 200, candidates from abroad and remaining all are from India about 30 to 30 percent are practising professionals. So, try to register for the exam, now available in Google.

Therefore, your denominator will be have a competition about 2000 candidates in the country are in the world and your percentile will indicate, A2 representation for understanding of subject in international scenario instead of getting a grade of $s$ or a only with a class room of 30 , who all the 30 does not know what dynamic is, but now you compute with 3000 or 2000 people whom all know what dynamics is then, you really know where do you stand actually that is a right way of getting percentile for any course. So, please register for the examination and get a certificate done through NPTEL.

Thank you.

