## Structural Health Monitoring (SHM) Prof. Srinivasan Chandrasekaran Department of Ocean Engineering Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

## Lecture - 54 Acquisition system and Networking for SHM -Part 2

(Refer Slide Time: 00:17)

୍କ୍	<u>1.</u> 1.4.94.		·*
	MZN -	- Wind network - centralized data acquisition unis	NPTE
		- pensos are und to measure physical Octashus	
		as analy value	
		- Theor serves are connected to a contralized	
		data server through wives	
		- DAQ unit convert analy to olivital syings	
		(ADC) and then process the data.	
		- Wird Jenson - price high Quality measurments	
		E Sput to Stim schore	
		- There was no harrinisis deby no date los	
-			
			N

Let us see the origin of this wireless sensor networking. Essentially, origin from wired network with centralized data acquisition units, sensors are used to measure physical parameters as analog value. Then these sensors are connected to a centralized data server through wires, then the data acquisition unit converts analog to digital signals that is called ADC; analog digital conversion and then process the data.

See the steps involved in wired network which actually made or given birth to wireless sensor networking that is a point what we are discussing here. Of course, wired sensors are expected to give high quality measurements and input to the SHM scheme, there was no transmission delay and no data loss, but all these were true when you do it on a lab scale.

## (Refer Slide Time: 03:02)

- 41	there were have lab diale	
	- real time mailing many more andre	
	- dependency/reclicability of other wing for	
	loup nus	
	- power capitation	
	- Network currentian	
	- fail to wak a denord ( no suf-diagno	45)
They Can	at be implemented as large shution	
	- bridges	
	- dans	Lad
	- alphar patch	
		STA V
		1
		7

On a real time monitoring, there were many problems. One of the foremost issue is dependency or reliability of sensors and wires for a long run power exploitation network congestion, fail to work on demand that is there was no self diagnosis of the sensor, that was a big issue. So, they cannot be implemented, they cannot be implemented on large structures like bridges, dams, offshore platforms because they have extensive length of cables.

(Refer Slide Time: 04:45)

It calle is domogral, date will be lost	NPTEL
- loss & efficiency of Stor.	
electro-medianical systems	
- voluce m/c vibrations	
- crow inference of parts strats	
meaninets & server	
- Critistia addutional Cumplexitis	
- wireless Jevels - new-adoptions life 1.	
- my - sclesily ( to	
mailto on their dun	
	E .
4	1 1
	101

If there would have been a damage to the cable, if the cable is damaged, data will be lost and that will lead to loss of efficiency of the whole system, further the electromechanical systems which induce machine vibration which induce cross interference of power signals with that of the measurements of the sensor created additional complexities.

Now, one can ask me a question; how these complexities can be controlled in a wireless sensor. Wireless sensors are essentially smart sensors, they have self adaptability and self scalability to monitor on their own.

(Refer Slide Time: 06:26)

The fifth Were Inset Active	Ž-∂-9-8↑-   8 / ■■■■■■■■□□□□□+	and a second
	Wird senses - do not have capability to prove data	IPTEL
	- control server is responsible for - collection - aggregation - storage of data	
	- while centrat & dots mangement is 1 mentione deal	
	× shardw(rgura/mys sur)	

So, in nutshell, wired sensors do not have capability to process data. So, the centralized server is responsible for collection, aggregation, storage and processing of data.

So, the whole concept of data management is centralized ok; that is a negative deal in wired sensors because if the centralized unit is shutdown or repaired or let us say, for example, high jacked ok, you lose a complete data. Whereas, in wired sensors, this problem was face will be made and corrected in wireless sensor networking, now various examples, we can give for example: let us say the wired sensors were installed for Golden Gate bridge at San Francisco.

## (Refer Slide Time: 08:11)

	*
() Gilden Gate Bridge (San Francisco)	NPTE
- Abhel-Ghaffar & Scanlan 1985)	
- frequencies, mode stops a downing rate	
(2) B'ill Emerica Nentroval Binlik, Capa Girandkau	
SHM schems	
- Pzt Accelerometer channes	
- of arconometer to middle	
- to analyze the sectionic response	
- behavior of a cash starty bright	
(cai(2do et al: 2004)	6

You can have a very interesting study on this referred by Abdul Ghaffar and Scanlon; 1985, we have used wired sensors, they measured frequencies, mode shapes and damping ratio of the bridge, the second application was also proudly presented in the Bill Emerson memorial bridge in Cape Girardeau. People have used structural health monitoring scheme which consists of 84 accelerometers; accelerometer channels. There are n number of anemometers to measure wind velocity. The system was to analyze the seismic response to understand behavior of a cable stayed bridge which was a new design, then at the time. So, the details can be seen in Casaido at al; 2004

(Refer Slide Time: 10:31)

File bill View Incel	ine tal #y <u> </u>	- (*)
	lerges ] Celles - 75% } total installation bin ] conduct stim 30500	NPTEL
	- sthur- writed Network - very expension - buildy (celebi, 2002)	
		Sel
		7

So, they clearly say that length of the cables consumed about 75 percent of the total installation time of the complete SHM system. They also said that SHM system using wired network can be very expensive when you do it for buildings, you can see the reference from Celebi 2002 who clearly states about the cost of SHM if it is wire.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:37)

Se	Ber Netwalk -	- Wired			
	- ma	y complexity	6		
	_ bie	k			
		- disadvant	795		
		- Lank sh	clures		
		-	- increase	sing Couplox h	
- M2W -	mary over	ulij advanta	85		
WSN- d	egyin sevalued	from wired	Notwal	deim	
					(A)
					NEX.
				1000	1-

So, in nutshell, we can very clearly understand that the sensor network, if wired has many complexities, though they have been tried, but there have been some disadvantages which researchers clearly highlighted and they were tried on large structures like bridges which showed increasing complexities.

So, wireless sensor networking on the other hand had many overruling advantages. We now see how this wireless sensor networking design slowly evolved from the wired design which component was replaced and how wireless sensor networking became very popular and effective in comparison to wired networking though wired networking had no data loss, no transmission loss, etcetera, there were advantages also. But they have been forbidden completely and in the recent past, people have successfully if deployed violations in networking for structural health monitoring, in different types of structures all over the world.

We will discuss this detail in the next lecture.

Thank you very much.