Upstream LNG Technology Prof. Pavitra Sandilya Department of Cryogenic Engineering Centre Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

Lecture – 46 Nitrogen removal in natural gas system- II

Welcome, today we shall be looking into some of the more techniques we about removal of the nitrogen from the natural gas. In this particular lecture, we shall be looking into adsorption, membrane and gas hydrate modes of separating the nitrogen from the natural gas systems.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:37)



So, we shall be learning about these 3 methods adsorptive separation, membrane separation and hydrate separation.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:45)



So, what we have learnt earlier there are 5 methods; these 2 absorptions cryogenic distillation we covered earlier and in this lecture we shall be covering the, these 3 types of natural removal methods.

(Refer Slide Time: 00:59)



Now, coming to adsorptive nitrogen removal; so, first we see that the adsorption is the choice after cryogenic distillation. And in this case we use generally pressures in adsorption or what we call PSA to remove high amount of nitrogen, which is the

adsorbate at a low heat of adsorption. And the adsorption pressure is about 10 to 100 kilo Pascal and the adsorption temperature varies between 273 to 323 Kelvin.

The heat of adsorption is between 15 to 22 kilo Joule per mole. So, these are some typical figures for carrying out adsorption and the heat of adsorption is significant because this decides that how much energy we would need for the regeneration of the spent adsorbents.

(Refer Slide Time: 01:56)



(Refer Slide Time: 01:59)



So, the principle of these adsorption separation is that this is the figure we can be see here that we need at least 2 beds for carrying out PSA depending on the time of adsorption and the for the bed to get saturated and the time of regeneration. So, here we saying the figure we have 2 beds here and all this valves have been given different symbols like this Sind valves are showing that there is the flow going on and these empty things are showing that these are closed.

So, in this case we understand that when one bed is undergoing adsorption, the other bed has been taken for regeneration. Now if we trace this particular feed we find that the feed is coming suppose it is coming and suppose in this case it will be coming to this unfiled or these blank valves. So, it will be coming through this while this particular thing is kept closed. So, it will go through this and then it gets adsorbed over here and then it comes through this particular valve and goes out the product.

Now, suppose this particular bed get exhausted then what we have to do? We shall be switching of this. So, we shall be closing this valve and we shall be opening this one now the same process we go to the feed will now come here and it will go through pass through this bed and it will go for the production.

Now, valve in case of regeneration what is happening that this particular bed is undergoing regeneration. So, what is happing that we are putting some kind of a may be hot stream through this bed and this bed from this adsorbents the adsorbs solutes. For example, in this case if we are adsorbed nitrogen the nitrogen will be carried over from this particular adsorbing bed and this will be taken out and then this bed will be ready for the adsorption.

And in this particular figure what we see is that how the loading capacity or the adsorption capacity varies with pressure. And here we see that we are comparing this PSA and TSA; now suppose we have this particular red line in which we are showing that how this then this taking place at one temperature and this is taking place at another temperature. Obviously, since adsorption is favored at lower temperature so this t adsorption must be lower than the t desorption.

So, suppose we start initially from this particular point; that means, at this particular pressure, at this particular temperature now if we are carrying out PSA; that means, we are reducing the pressure what we have to do for remember that we go to like this. So,

that we are going to an at the same received then isothermally. So, the; we are going on a same isotherm, but we are just reducing the pressure. So that we can find that the loading of the bed decreases; so, that is how we are able to regenerate the bed.

On the other hand, if we want to use TSA then what we need to consider is this at the same pressure for we move with move down the heat of the bed. And then we go to another isotherm and that is at we find that this t desorption is more than T adsorption. So; that means, this particular vertical line show the TSA; that means, the Thermal Swing Adsorption way of regenerating the catalyst adsorbent. And this shows this PSA that is the pressure variation how will we regenerate the adsorbent by varying the pressure. So, these 2 things can be carried out in this pair of beds.

(Refer Slide Time: 05:43)



Now, in case of nitrogen removal what we found find that the mostly the absorbance show equilibrium selectivity for methane over nitrogen. That means, methane is select selectively taken up by the adsorbents of course, it dependence on the type of adsorbent, but the most of the adsorbent show that more selectivity towards methane; that is nitrogen is purged out while methane is retained.

And molecular sieves and titanosilicates show kinetic and equilibrium selectivity for nitrogen; we shall learn in a while what is kinetic and what is equilibrium selectivity. Now, narrow pore size distribution of adsorbents as we learnt earlier that pore size distribution is very important that decides that how selectively we can adsorb the various solutes.

So, in this case we find that the this the diameters of the nitrogen and this methane molecules are very close about 3.64 Angstrom and 3.8 Angstrom that is by we find that the pore size is of the adsorbent have to be very very carefully made so, that we can differentiate between these 2 types if molecules which are very close diameters. So, that is where we find that in this case kinetic and equilibrium selectivity is preferred rather than steric selectivity.

(Refer Slide Time: 07:13)



So, this is what that is a now we see that the mechanism for the adsorptive separation. So, first we have the thermodynamic equilibrium mechanism; what it means is this that it shows the differences in the adsorbate and the surface interactions and or adsorbate and packing interactions when the system reaches equilibrium. That means, we allow all the solutes to reach equilibrium and then measure the respective concentrations on the adsorbate.

So, depending on the adsorbate adsorbent interaction; various solutes will be adsorb to depend extents at equilibrium. And this extent the difference in the extents will determine the separation and the selectivity of the various solutes by the particular adsorbent at equilibrium. Then we have another mechanism that is the Steric mechanism; in this what we do that differences in the size and or shape of gas molecules dictate.

That means, the molecules which are larger than the pore size will not be allowed to the adsorbent. Whereas, those molecules which are smaller than the pore size will be allow to enter the adsorbent particles and then get adsorbed; so this is called the Steric mechanism. And lastly we have the kinetic mechanism in which the difference in the rates of diffusion of the various solutes through the adsorbent particle determinants their separation.

That means, in this kinetic separation all or some of the solutes are allowed to pass through the pores of the adsorbent. But depending on the rate at which their travel inside the pores will determine their respective adsorption capacities.



(Refer Slide Time: 08:59)

Now, next we have this adsorption NRU that is the Natural Removal Unit; in this case we find that this is the kind of characteristic which are you found that this curve shows the equilibrium cap adsorption capacity of carbon dioxide, methane and nitrogen at 298 Kelvin on zeolite 13 X.

This zeolite 13 X is one of the absorbance on this particular adsorbent at this particular temperature; this is a typical curve, which have been shown for the 3 components. And what we find that the zeolite 13 X adsorbent adsorbs carbon dioxide preferentially in comparison to methane and nitrogen. That means, from this we conclude that carbon dioxide if we want to remove carbon dioxide from natural gas; then we should go for this zeolite 13 X.

And once carbon dioxide is removed then we can see that next is the methane; that means, this 13 X; zeolite 13 X adsorbs methane more than nitrogen. So, then what we find that this particular after removal of carbon dioxide, this particular adsorbent may be used to remove the methane from the nitrogen.

(Refer Slide Time: 10:18)

	Vendor/licensor	Adsorbent	More adsorbed component	Less adsorbed component	Flow rate (MMscfd)	Refs.
M3100 Xebec Rotary-valve	Xebec	Metal-based	CO2	CH ₄	< 2.5	Toreja et al. (2011)
CO ₂ Sponge	IACX Energy	Activated carbon	CO ₂	CH ₄	0.2-5	CO ₂ Sponge (2011)
Molecular Gate	Guild Associates	Titanosilicates	CO ₂	CH ₄	0.5-50	Guild Associates (2007)
UOP MOLSIV	UOP	Zeolite	CO2	CH ₄		UOP (2010)
Polybed PSA/Nitrex	UOP	Zeolite	CH ₄	N ₂	2.3	UOP (2010)
Micro-scale N ₂ rejection unit	American Energies Corporation	Activated carbon	CH ₄	N ₂	0.075-0.5	(American Energies Pipeline, 2009) Nhattacharya et al. (2009)
Nitrogen rejection unit	TGPE	Activated carbon	CH ₄	N ₂	0.0003-15	TGPE (2009)
Nitrogen Sponge	IACX Energy	Activated carbon	CH ₄	N ₂	0.3-5	Reinhold (2010)
Nitrotec	CMS Energy	Activated carbon	CH4	N ₂	15	Richter et al. (1985) and Tagliabue et al. (2009)
Molecular Gate	Guild Associates/BASF	Titanosilicates	N ₂	CH ₄	0.5-10	Guild Associates (2007), Mitariten (2009)

Here in this particular table we have listed some of the commonly used adsorbents for the nitrogen removal. And here we find the different reference have been given here and we find that various this from which are the vendors; who can supply these particular kinds of this adsorbent. And we find that some metal based, some is activated carbon then titanosilicate, then zeolite and all these things and we are finding that which of the components is selectively adsorbed on this particular adsorbents.

Like for example, in its metal based adsorbent, carbon dioxide is the more adsorbed than methane. Then activated carbon also carbon dioxide is preferentially adsorbed to methane; then in this way we find at this particular zeolite this is this niterx polybed nitrex, this particular thing we find that in this case methane we selected selectively adsorbed 2 nitrogens.

So, this way by looking at these particular figures we can find out that which absorbent you choose from. And here in this particular column we are shown the kind of the capacities of this various kinds of adsorbent beds.

(Refer Slide Time: 11:36)

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Adsorbent name	Type	CH ₄ capacity (mol kg ⁻¹)	N ₂ capacity (mol kg ⁻¹)	CH ₄ /N ₂ equilibrium selectivity	CH ₄ /N ₂ kinetic selectivity	T (K)	P (kPa)	Refs.
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Sr-ETS-4, activated 543 K	Titanosilicate	1.30	2.00	0.65	0.17	273	100	Marathe et al. (2004b)
Name Bit Bit Bit Carl \mathcal{K} 10 0.39 277 281 100 Denshort at (1997) Visci Diano Control Control 211 232 231 201 Diano Control at (2007) Visci Diano Control Control 232 231	G2X7/12 (Takeda)	AC	1.18	0.38	3.11		298	100	Olajossy et al. (2003)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Norit RB1 extra	AC	1.10	0.39	2.77		298	100	Dreisbach et al. (1999)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	AX21 (MAST)	AC	1.09	0.31	3.52		293	100	Scaife et al. (2000)
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	PET-DC-9-CVD	AC/CMS	1.06	0.25	4.24	4.63	298	100	Cansado et al. (2010)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	WS42 (Chemviron)	AC	1.02	0.39	2.62		303	100	Belmabkhout et al. (2004)
$ \begin{array}{cccc} (a) & A^{-} & 121 & 0.44 & 237 & 298 & 100 & Betandour et al. (2004) \\ (a) (a) (a) (a) (b) (b) (b) (b) (b) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c) (c$	MSC 3K-161	CMS	1.01	0.36	4.25	0.37	298	100	Watson et al. (2009), Cavenati et al. (2005)
Glamba Galel, A.C. Object 2.31 1.39 100 Valence and Myer, (1989) Mand Adultz Southe 6.84 6.54 1.58 300 100 Valence and Myer, (1989) Mand Adultz Southe 6.84 6.54 1.58 300 100 Southe of Myer, (1989) Mand Adultz Southe 6.84 6.54 1.58 300 100 Solar et al., (2001) Main Southe 6.84 6.45 1.78 100 Solar et al., (2001) Na More 7.7 6.44 1.70 2.81 100 Educer et al., (2001) Main Figure Adv 7.7 1.44 2.81 100 Educer et al., (2001) Molt 6.87 1.78 1.84 2.80 100 Educer et al., (2001) Molt 6.87 1.71 1.44 2.80 100 Educer et al., (2001) Statist 2.02 1.71 1.44 2.80 100 Educer et al., (2001) Statis 2.02 <td>BPL (Calgon)</td> <td>AC</td> <td>1.01</td> <td>0.34</td> <td>2.97</td> <td></td> <td>298</td> <td>100</td> <td>Belmabkhout et al. (2004)</td>	BPL (Calgon)	AC	1.01	0.34	2.97		298	100	Belmabkhout et al. (2004)
Name of Absolute Conter Sign of the second	Columbia Grade L	AC	0.94	0.34	2.81		303	100	Valenzuela and Myers, (1989)
Manuth AC Size Size <th< td=""><td>Natural chabazite</td><td>Zeolite</td><td>0.89</td><td>0.45</td><td>1.96</td><td>1.86</td><td>303</td><td>100</td><td>Jensen et al. (2011)</td></th<>	Natural chabazite	Zeolite	0.89	0.45	1.96	1.86	303	100	Jensen et al. (2011)
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Maxsorb	AC	0.88	0.32	2.76		300	100	Sheikh et al. (1996)
Mg-changebanic Anote Control Big Changebanic Big Changebbanic Big Changebanic	5A (Sinopec)	Zeolite	0.84	0.56	1.50		298	100	Saha et al. (2010)
Scholtenic Contr D, 1 D, 4 D, 1	Mg-clinoptilolite	Zeolite	0.80	0.45	1.78	0.10	295	100	(Jayaraman et al., 2004)
SMR-17 SMR SMR<	Fut-Morgenite	Leoine	0.75	0.99	1.70		303	100	Deigado et al. (2006)
Naci Linkol Zentite 645 441 153 955 100 Description (11) Net Linkol Zentite 645 441 153 100 Description (11) Nater (1,1096) Net Keit Zentite 659 4.28 2.11 2.81 100 Creater (4, 1094) NK (Clack Disonitie 629 2.28 2.11 2.81 100 Creater (4, 2004) SrG 1-4, antimet StO 1 Tissonitiant 62.2 2.29 130 100 Creater (4, 2007) SrG 1-4, antimet StO 1 Tissonitiant 62.2 2.21 1.91 2.31 100 Creater (4, 2007) SrG 1-4, antimet StO 1 Tissonitiant 62.2 2.21 1.91 2.31 100 Creater (4, 2007) SrG 1-4, antimet StO 1 Tissonitiant 6.10 6.10 2.81 100 Instruct (4, 2007) SrG 1-4, antimet StO 1 Tissonitiant 6.10 6.10 2.81 100 Instruct (4, 1007) SrG 1-4, antist StO 1 K 4.00 <	MOE 177	MOE	0.67	0.17	3.41		298	100	Esteves et al. (2006) Salaa at al. (2010)
Naka Zontine Gal 641 154 201 100 Xar L (2004) NS (CG (A) Devile 6.9 6.28 2.11 241 0.0 Xar L (2004) SA (VIC (CG (A) Devile 6.9 6.28 2.19 281 100 Num et al. (2005) SA (VIC (CG (A) Devile 6.9 6.29 2.90 30 No Num et al. (2005) SA (VIC (CG (A) Devile 6.9 6.29 2.90 30 No Num et al. (2005) SA (VIC (CG (A) Devile 6.13 0.11 1.18 2.80 100 Salar et al. (2001) SelT-4. Avisord S10 R.10 6.13 6.14 6.07 281 100 Salar et al. (2001) SelT-4. Avisord S10 R.20 6.44 6.05 2.08 100 Janar et al. (2001) SelT-4. Avisord S10 R.20 R.20 2.81 100 Liner et al. (2001) SelT-4. Avisord S10 R.20 R.20 2.81 100 Linere al. (2	NoV (Linda)	Zeolite	0.67	0.41	1.50		205	100	Duppe et al. (2010)
DBC (TGC A) Zentile 69 O28 211 286 100 Center of al, (2004) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter S.8 O.22 2.9 301 100 Center of al, (2004) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter S.8 O.22 4.00 1.41 1.41 Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter S.8 O.22 4.01 1.41 2.01 Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter S.8 Center of al, (2007) Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter S.8 Center of al, (2007) Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter S.8 Center of al, (2007) Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Conter Call Call Center of al, (2007) Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Call Call Call Call Center of al, (2007) Set (SFA, actions (SGA) Call Call Call Call Call Center of al, (2007) <td>Na Reta</td> <td>Zeolite</td> <td>0.63</td> <td>0.41</td> <td>164</td> <td></td> <td>202</td> <td>100</td> <td>Value of (1999)</td>	Na Reta	Zeolite	0.63	0.41	164		202	100	Value of (1999)
SAVE Concerning Content Content <thcontent< th=""> <thcontent< th=""></thcontent<></thcontent<>	13Y (CECA)	Zeolite	0.65	0.28	2.11		209	100	Commuti et al. (2004)
Self-14- animet 3/12 K Timesoficate 0.22 0.33 1.09 1.21 1.00 Concent or 4_0 (2009) MR5 MD2 0.33 0.11 1.18 2.28 100 Salar 4_0 (2009) MR5 MD2 0.33 0.11 1.18 2.28 100 Salar 4_0 (200) MR5 MD2 0.33 0.11 1.18 2.28 100 Salar 4_0 (200) MR5 MD2 0.23 0.24 0.28 100 Salar 4_0 (200) MR5 MD2 0.24 0.28 0.02 2.81 MD2 Salar 4_0 (200) MR5 MD2 MD2 0.28 0.02 0.28 100 Ganara 4_0 (200) MR1 MD2 ACX05 0.02 0.28 0.02 2.81 100 Instructure 4_0 (200) MR1 MD2 ACX05 0.22 1.28 2.81 0.00 Instructure 4_0 (200) MR1 MD2 ACX05 0.27 1.31 2.28 2.81 100 Valemetada Mbrrr 1800)	54 (WR Crace & Davison)	Zeolite	0.58	0.20	2.90		303	100	Nam et al. (2005)
CurrS4 CurS4 CurS4 <td>Se-ETC_A activated 272 V</td> <td>Titanorilicate</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>1.00</td> <td></td> <td>322</td> <td>100</td> <td>Compati et al. (2000)</td>	Se-ETC_A activated 272 V	Titanorilicate	0.22	0.20	1.00		322	100	Compati et al. (2000)
MDF3 MDF 0.11 0.11 1.18 2.28 100 Shar et al. (2001) SelT-4. atterd S14 K Tissonikar a.0 0.21 <td>Ca.ETS.4 activated 543 K</td> <td>Titanosilicate</td> <td>0.20</td> <td>0.22</td> <td>0.83</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>323</td> <td>100</td> <td>Cavenati et al. (2009)</td>	Ca.ETS.4 activated 543 K	Titanosilicate	0.20	0.22	0.83	0.14	323	100	Cavenati et al. (2009)
SetTS-4 antired SM1 Timesolitizare 0.1 1.66 0.07 281 100 Ramidi et al. (2001) FFLG-4 antired SM3 Timesolitizare 0.02 0.88 0.65 255 100 Timesolitizare 0.0001 FFLG-6 ACCMS 0.02 0.88 0.55 6.02 281 100 Canador et al. (2001) Statility Sequence Callon AC 400 0.82 0.82 6.02 281 100 Canador et al. (2001) Statility Sequence Callon AC 400 1.22 2.23 2.88 100 Dembads et al. (2001) Statility Sequence Callon AC 1.00 1.22 2.23 2.88 100 Dembads et al. (2001) Statility Sequence Callon AC 1.00 1.23 2.23 2.88 100 Dembads et al. (2001) Statility Callon AC 1.00 1.23 2.88 100 Dembads et al. (2001) Canador et al. (2001) Statility Callon CAS 2.10 1.31 2.88 100 <td>MOE-S</td> <td>MOF</td> <td>013</td> <td>0.11</td> <td>1.18</td> <td>0.14</td> <td>298</td> <td>100</td> <td>Saba et al. (2010)</td>	MOE-S	MOF	013	0.11	1.18	0.14	298	100	Saba et al. (2010)
SriF1-6 Constraint Site Constraint Site <thconstraint site<="" th=""> Constraint Site<td>Sr-FTS-4 activated 543 K</td><td>Titanosilicate</td><td>0.10</td><td>1.46</td><td>0.07</td><td></td><td>298</td><td>100</td><td>Kuznicki et al. (2001)</td></thconstraint>	Sr-FTS-4 activated 543 K	Titanosilicate	0.10	1.46	0.07		298	100	Kuznicki et al. (2001)
PRT-CC ACCMS 0.02 0.08 0.25 6.02 9.10 Constant et al. (2019) Straff: Spectra CA 4.00 1.22 1.28 2.28 1.00 Enrols et al. (2019) Straff: Spectra CA 4.00 1.22 2.21 2.81 1.00 Enrols et al. (2019) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 1.22 2.21 2.81 1.00 Enrols et al. (2019) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 1.22 2.21 2.81 1.00 Enrols et al. (2019) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 1.22 2.81 2.81 1.00 Enrols et al. (2019) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 1.21 1.25 3.81 1.00 Enrols et al. (2004) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 2.71 1.31 2.81 1.00 Weater et al. (2004) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 1.31 3.10 Derard Et ar. (2014) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00 1.02 1.03 1.00 Meater et al. (2004) Straff: Spectra CA 5.00	Sr-ETS-4, activated 588 K	Titanosilicate	0.02	0.24	0.08	0.05	295	100	lavaraman et al. (2004)
Shiri BB tota: A.C. 4.00 2.22 1.58 2.28 10.00 Dirtishet at: (1999) Suffili Bysiman Calledon A.B. 1.82 2.81 2.98 10.00 Dirtishet at: (1999) Glambia Calledon A.F. 1.82 2.81 2.98 10.00 Dirtishet at: (1999) Statistific Spatian Calledon A.F. 1.82 2.81 2.98 10.00 Valence at: MVPre (1989) Statistific Spatian Calledon A.F. 1.83 1.87 2.88 10.00 Valence at: AI (1997) Statistic Statistic A.G. 1.33 1.25 6.18 2.89 10.00 Weisser et al. (2000) Currenti et al. (2000) Statistic Statistic Definition A.G. 1.31 2.81 0.00 Definition et al. (2000) Statistic Statistic Definition A.G. 1.31 1.33 1.30 Definition Definition et al. (2001) Statistic A.G. Definition A.G. 1.34 1.33 1.30 Definition Mexicor et al. (2001) Statistic A.G.<	PET-DC-0	ACICMS	0.02	0.08	0.26	0.02	298	100	Cansado et al. (2010)
Startific Sporting AC 1.49 1.12 2.28 1.90 Line = r.4.(200) Startific Sporting AC 1.47 1.78 1.55 3.11 0.90 Line = r.4.(200) Link Cachel A 1.47 1.78 1.55 3.11 0.90 Cachener (al. (200) Link Cachel A.20 1.33 1.37 3.28 HOB Cachener (al. (200) Link Cachel 1.36 1.31 1.37 3.18 D.20 Cachener (al. (200) Model Science 2.06 2.10 1.40 1.58 1.18 D.20 Description (al. (200) Na-Model Science 2.00 1.31 3.10 Description (al. (200) Description (al. (200) Nature Advance Advance 4.10 1.50 2.31 Description (al. (200)	Norit RB1 extra	AC	4.00	2.02	1.98		298	1000	Dreisbach et al. (1999)
Olambia Gadel, A. 1.71 1.85 1.90 1000 Vienness and Myren (1980) MSC 33-F61 O. M. 1.83 1.87 281 1000 Vienness and Myren (1980) MSC 33-F61 O. O. 2.27 1.33 2.26 6.18 2.01 0.00 Vienness and Myren (1980) MSC 33-F61 O. O. 2.00 1.32 2.65 6.18 2.01 0.00 Vienness and Myren (1980) MSC 33-F61 O. O. 2.00 1.40 1.50 1.31 0.00 Weator et al. (2003) Carenti et al. (2004) Na-More Mark D. 1.50 1.11 3.01 00 Degleer et al. (2004) Mark adult D. 4.64 1.64 3.03 Mole Mediar et al. (2004)	Sutcliffe Speakman Carbon	AC	3.69	1.82	2.03		298	1000	Esteves et al. (2008)
IXI (CEGA) Zerolite Job I.81 I.87 284 1000 Constant et al, 2004(constant et al, 2004) MKG 74-161 OKE 271 131 2.65 131 2.66 0.76 <	Columbia Grade L	AC	3.47	1.78	1.95		303	1000	Valenzuela and Myers (1989)
MKC 316-10 CMS 2.73 1.33 2.65 0.18 2.89 100 Worsen et al (2007) Generali et al (2007) MKC 316-162 CMS 2.10 1.40 1.50 0.17 91 100 Bits and the (2005) Ni-Modemite Zeolite 1.70 1.50 1.11 90 100 Delgade et al (2006) Nimaria dubulare Zeolite 1.70 1.60 1.66 91 00 Worsen et al (2011) Total dubulare dubuse dubulare dubulare dubulare dubuse dubuse dubulare dubulare dubulare dubuse dubulare dubuse dubuse dubuse dubuse dubulare dubuse d	13X (CECA)	Zeolite	3.06	1.83	1.67		298	1000	Cavenati et al. (2004)
MS-34-162 CMS 2.10 1.40 1.50 0.17 303 1000 Bac and Let (2005) Natural chabacities Zoolite 170 1.50 1.13 303 1000 Delgador et al. (2006) Natural chabacities Zoolite 169 1.66 1.16 303 1000 Ventore et al. (2011) CBTC Austitude 169 Transmittione 0.09 2.09 0.01 900 Ventore et al. (2011)	MSC 3K-161	CMS	2.73	1.33	2.05	0.18	298	1000	Watson et al. (2009), Cavenati et al. (2005)
Na-Mordenite Zeolite 1.70 1.50 1.13 303 1000 Delgado et al. (2006) Natural chabazite Zeolite 1.69 1.46 1.16 303 1000 Water et al. (2011) CETEC 4 selficients 2.80 0.14 2.90 Konich et al. (2011)	MSC-3K-162	CMS	2.10	1.40	1.50	0.17	303	1000	Bae and Lee (2005)
Natural chabazite Zeolite 1.69 1.46 1.16 303 1000 Watson et al. (2011)	Na-Mordenite	Zeolite	1.70	1.50	1.13		303	1000	Delgado et al. (2006)
Sr ETS A patiented 542 F Texperilinate 0.00 2.60 0.24 209 1000 Femileki et al. (2001)	Natural chabazite	Zeolite	1.69	1.46	1.16		303	1000	Watson et al. (2011)
3PE13P6, ACIVATED 343 K III.ali05ilicate 0.50 3.80 0.24 238 1000 Kuzilicki et al. (2001)	Sr-ETS-4, activated 543 K	Titanosilicate	0.90	3.80	0.24		298	1000	Kuznicki et al. (2001)

And here are some more of these adsorbents to these adsorbent these things are very exhaustive; exhaustive list of the various adsorbents that may be considered for the removal of the various types of impurities from natural gas like carbon dioxide, nitrogen etcetera. These come handy whenever we are supposed to design and adsorption column, for the removal of these particular impurities from natural gas.

(Refer Slide Time: 12:07)



Now, next we come to the membrane; nitrogen removal units. Now these membrane units are limited to pretreatment and compression of feed stream to produce the desired driving force.

And natural gas is generally at sufficient pressure, but still we mean where we need compression for membrane separation. As we learnt earlier that the separation through membrane depends on the difference in the partial pressures of the particular solute across the membrane on the; that means, the permit side and the glitented side and we know that if we increase the pressure at a given mole fraction; the partial pressure of the particular solute will increase.

So, we need to pressurize the gas to be separated at very high pressure. And in case of natural gas even your natural gas come sought from the earth at sufficiently high pressure; still more pressure pressurization may be needed if we take it for membrane separation. And the membrane performance is characterized by the permeability and the selectivity of the main. The permeability we refers to that how much the membrane will the allow some particular solute will pass through. And selectivity means that preferential which of the solutes will be allowed to pass through the membrane.

And these membranes are not commonly used due to low selectivity of similar sized nitrogen and methane; that means, if we want to separate nitrogen and methane then generally this is not (Refer Time: 13:46) much and the selectivity we find that is less than 3 for glassy polymer membrane and less than 5 for rubbery polymer membranes. And addition of some inorganic fillers is done to increase the selectivity by making the mixed matrix membrane. So, we use some figures so that we can overcome this kind of small selectivity of the membranes.

(Refer Slide Time: 14:10)



Now, we use asymmetric inorganic membranes made from silica, zeolites and carbon molecular sieve and unlike this organic membranes these membrane can operate at high temperatures. So, we find that organic membranes may get destroyed at high temperature. So, the inorganic membranes are used and asymmetric as we learnt earlier that asymmetric means we have a very thin skin of the membrane which is supported by a quite porous and thin support that is asymmetric membrane. So, these kind of asymmetric membranes are used for the separation of the this impurities.

And the design of this membrane NRU is determined by the membrane capacity that is the dictated by the membrane material. Then the feed gas pressure the kind of contaminants we have in the feed gas and the desired level of purity of the product .

(Refer Slide Time: 15:07)



There are 2 operational issues in the membrane with sometimes restrict the use of the membrane of the impurities separation. In this case, we find loss of selectivity and separation performance may occur for a long period of time; why? Because of basically 2 reasons one is plasticization; that means, the membrane polymer swells.

And this swelling of the membrane is caused by the carbon dioxide water and other aromatic hydro carbons which are present in the natural gas or the degradation of the polymer membranes. So, this needs to the loss of the selectivity and the performance of the membrane and to prevent the plasticization what is done? Some cross linking of the polymer networks and use of some figures. So, these are something which are taken we are not going to detail of this things. So, we chemically treat this membranes so that we can prevent the plasticization of the membrane.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:09)



And also we need for we need to go for pretreatment of the feed gas so that we can remove any kind of contaminants which encored which can enhance the plasticization. So, like for for example, we can use the carbon dioxide or water and then alleviate fouling by removing the oil mists and the particulates.

As we learnt earlier fouling means the deposition of undesirable components on the membrane surface which prevent the diffusion of the solutes to the membrane. So, we need to prevent the fouling and then prevent the condensation of the heavier hydrocarbons and water on the membrane surface.

(Refer Slide Time: 16:51)



So, here we find the typical membrane module that some lower pressure gas say about 10 percent nitrogen; this coming through this. And we find that it is first going through the typically its first going through one membrane module.

And in this case the permeate is taken out at the pipeline gas which has less than 4 percent nitrogen. And the returned it is again taken to next membrane module and here we find that we can get the fuel gas. And the permeate from here this is about 10 to 15 percent nitrogen is send back to the with stream and again after this compression and after this filtering again we said it back to the membrane modules.

So, this is a typical membrane separation unit for this nitrogen removal.

(Refer Slide Time: 17:37)



And here we find that the membrane performance which has been plotted with the nitrogen permeance against the nitrogen to methane selectivity. And what we find here is this that as the selectivity increases; the permeation decreases; that means, for an increased by an order of magnitude in selectivity permeation for nitrogen decreases by 4.5 orders of magnitude; while for carbon dioxide decreased by 2.6 orders.

That means, order of magnitude means suppose we go for 10 times increase a in in the in the in the selectivity; then what we find? Then the permission decreases more rapidly than the selectivity for for the carbon dioxide for the nitrogen; then for carbon dioxide.

And here we find what are the various kinds of membranes have which have been put silica membranes, polymer membranes then some hollow fiber to be find for different types of membranes; we find different types of this relationship between the selectivity and the permeance. But the overall trend is that the selectivity decreases with and increase in the permeance.

(Refer Slide Time: 18:55)



And that is why what we do we need to do multi staging to treat the natural gas for nitrogen concentration between 3 and 30 percent; So, when we have sorry 4 and 30 percent; when we have this kind of amount of that we will go for multi staging; that means, we use number a number of membrane modules .

Lastly we come to the another thing which is the nitrogen rejection by hydrate route.

Strength of the second s

And use of hydrate has been done for separation since 1930s that is it is not a very new process. And hydrates may be used to produce a lean gas stream with respect to hydro

(Refer Slide Time: 19:20)

forming gases; that means, hydrate forming gases are mainly we learned the carbon dioxide, H 2 S; then the water water if the react with this when we get hydrates. So, with respect to this hydrate forming gases are carbon dioxide, nitrogen, H 2 S; etcetera we this hydrate route may be used to get a leaner gas; leaner gas means which will be having less amount of this particular impurities

So, in case of carbon dioxide, nitrogen and methane mixture carbon dioxide is preferentially forms the hydrate. And use of high pressure for hydrate formation makes this process uneconomical because we know that hydrate is formed at high pressure and low temperature. To to make this particular process possible with separate carbon dioxide or nitrogen; we need high pressure and this high pressure and its own challenges.

So, that is why it makes the process uneconomical and a typical natural gas forms hydrate at pressure more than 30 bar and at a temperature less than 20 degree centigrade. And to make the high the out of facilitate the hydrate formation some kind of hydrate promoters sometimes used to reduce this formation pressure, but we have to understand that whenever we are using some promoter; we are; that means, we are also adding some kind of impurity to the system.

(Refer Slide Time: 20:59)



So, ; so, then there are no commercial scale process operation for NRU and gas liquid contact is very important for hydrate formation because unless the gas comes in contact

with water we cannot from the hydrate. So, it is very important you have to assure that this 2 phases get properly mixed in a particular symbol.

So, in this case bubble columns and stirred tank reactors are used; hydrate formation is exothermic; that means, it is accompanied by release of energy. So, to remove the heat of hydrate formation what we need? We need to quickly take out the heat. So, and for that various devices may be used a one of them may be a fluidized bed heat exchanger. And this kind of heat exchangers prevent the hydrate deposition and increase the internal heat transfer coefficient. So, fluidized bed do not allow the hydrate solid hydrate to remains a inside the particular chamber .

(Refer Slide Time: 21:59)



And here is a typical flow diagram for this hydrate formation that here we find we have the feed gas. And in this particular chamber we are having the hydrate formation and we find that if carbon dioxide some hydrates than the, but this outgoing gas will be richer in nitrogen.

And then the this particular thing will be richer in methane and this will be going to a some pump and it will go through this hydrate melter. And it will be taken to to this particular chamber where we shall be separating of the water and the water gets; that means, we are basically heating up this melting means we are heating up the hydrates.

And to separate out the water from the methane and in this exit gas; we are having the the methane rich exit gas. Whereas, the water comes down and is recycled to this particular hydrate forming chamber; that means, in this particular system; we are first forming the hydrate and then next time what we are doing? We are recovering the water and sending it back to the hydrate column and this recycling is enabling us to reduce the use of the fresh water; we are able to recycle these things and here are some of the references which we have refer to to get more information on this particular topic

(Refer Slide Time: 23:13)



Thank you.